



October 10, 2022

Honorable Gary Gensler, Chair
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street N.E.
Washington, DC 20549

Re: T+1 Transition Date

Dear Chair Gensler,

We the undersigned associations (the “Associations”) and The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”) appreciate the opportunity to continue to meet with you and the Commission staff to share our views on shortening the U.S. settlement cycle to T+1.¹ This partnership has been helpful as industry participants continue to prepare for the transition to T+1.

The transition date in the Commission’s proposed rules is March 31, 2024 or sooner. As highlighted in the Associations and DTCC’s comment letters in response to the Commission’s proposed rules, and as discussed in our dialogue with you and the Commission staff, the industry’s view is that a transition date of Tuesday, September 3, 2024 (after Labor Day weekend 2024) is a more appropriate date. In the industry’s view, transitioning on September 3, 2024 would reasonably ensure minimal potential for risk to the U.S. market and global investors, and would support the Commission’s objective to have market participants “prepare expeditiously” for T+1 settlement.

Importantly, this date falls immediately after the 2024 three-day Labor Day holiday weekend, during which time both the U.S. and Canadian financial markets will be closed, giving the industry an additional and necessary day to finalize the transition and address any unforeseen challenges. An aligned three-day holiday weekend only occurs once per year. The Canadian market has the most inter-listed U.S. securities² and is transitioning from T+2 to T+1 settlement in concert with the U.S. Additionally, adopting a T+1 settlement cycle in the U.S. before Canada may lead to an increase in cross-border fails and buy-ins. Furthermore, the Tuesday after the 2017 Labor Day holiday weekend was leveraged for the successful transition from T+3 to T+2.³

¹ See Shortening the Securities Transaction Settlement Cycle, Exchange Act Release No. 94196 (Feb. 9, 2022).

² Stock Market MBA, <https://stockmarketmba.com/canadiancompanieshattradeonusexchanges.php>.

³ A transition on the Labor Day weekend would be similar to the process used to transition to T+2. 2017. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 80295 (March 22, 2017), 82 FR 15564, 15580-15581 (2017 T+2 Adopting Release), <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-02-24/pdf/2022-03143.pdf>.


While recognizing the final rule is still under consideration, and may not become available for some time, the Associations and the DTCC are seeking clarity from the Commission on its position on the final transition date ahead of the publication of the final rule. Regulatory clarity and the expeditious release of a final rule will provide the industry assurances to meet their expected targets for planning, testing, and execution of T+1. Any delay or ambiguity in the final rule may put in jeopardy these industry efforts and timelines.

In preparation for the 2017 transition from T+3 to T+2, Chair White sent a letter to the industry in 2015 (see attached) providing certainty to the industry on the transition date ahead of the publication of the final rules. The Associations and the DTCC are respectfully requesting you to consider issuing a similar letter or statement setting out your position on the transition date ahead of the publication of the final rules given that the Commission has had time to review the industry comment letters submitted earlier this year.

Please be assured that our member firms will continue to assess, plan, and execute to meet the significant and extensive operational, process, and technological changes necessary with the transition to T+1, and such a letter or statement from yourself or the Commission more generally would help provide regulatory certainty to the industry as it prepares for an orderly transition to T+1.

The Associations and the DTCC appreciate the opportunity for continued collaboration and dialogue with you and the Commission. Our shared interest in managing risk while achieving an effective and efficient transition to T+1 remains aligned. Please feel free to reach out to the undersigned.

Sincerely,



Tom Price
Managing Director
Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association
tprice@sifma.org




Lindsey Weber Keljo
Head - Asset Management Group
Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association
lkkeljo@sifma.org

/s/Joanne Kane

Joanne Kane
Chief Operations Officer
Investment Company Institute
joanne.kane@ici.org



Murray C. Pozmanter
Head of Clearing Agency Services and Global Operations and Client Services
The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation
mpozmanter@dtcc.com



Steven Wager
Chair, Americas Focus Committee
Association of Global Custodians
info@theagc.com

/s/ Gail C. Bernstein

Gail C. Bernstein
General Counsel
Investment Adviser Association
Gail.Bernstein@investmentadviser.org

/s/ Keith Evans

Keith Evans
Executive Director
Canadian Capital Markets Association
Kevans@ccma-acmc.ca

/s/ Jennifer W. Han

Jennifer W. Han
Executive Vice President, Chief Counsel & Head of Global Regulatory Affairs
Managed Funds Association
JHan@managedfunds.org

/s/ Jiri Krol

Jiri Krol
Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Global Head of Government Affairs
Alternative Investment Management Association
jkrol@aima.org

/s/ Kristin Hochstein

Kristin Hochstein

ISITC Chair

ISITC

kristin.hochstein@lseg.com

cc: The Honorable Hester M. Peirce, Commissioner
The Honorable Caroline A. Crenshaw, Commissioner
The Honorable Mark T. Uyeda, Commissioner
The Honorable Jaime Lizárraga, Commissioner
Haoxiang Zhu, Director, Division of Trading and Markets
Jeffrey S. Mooney, Associate Director, Division of Trading and Markets
Matthew Lee, Assistant Director, Division of Trading and Markets



UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

THE CHAIR

September 16, 2015

Kenneth E. Bentsen, Jr.
President & CEO
Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association
1101 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005

Paul Schott Stevens
President & CEO
Investment Company Institute
1401 H Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20005

Dear Mr. Bentsen and Mr. Stevens:

Thank you for your letter recommending specific regulatory changes in connection with industry efforts to shorten the settlement cycle for equities, corporate and municipal bonds, unit investment trusts, and financial instruments comprised of these products traded on the secondary market. I appreciate your leadership in advancing the multi-party dialogue on this issue, and I have closely followed the progress of the initiative.

I strongly support your efforts to shorten the settlement cycle from the third business day after the trade date to no later than the second business day (“T+2”). I urge the Industry Steering Committee (“ISC”) to continue to pursue the necessary steps towards achieving this important goal as promptly as possible. As you are aware, the Commission has considered the move to a shortened settlement cycle in the past,¹ and I appreciate the commendable effort to scope the process for the migration to a shorter settlement cycle described in the recently published white paper prepared in conjunction with the ISC (“White Paper”).² Since the receipt of your letter, the SEC staff has continued to do additional outreach and analysis on these issues. As the White Paper outlines, shortening the settlement cycle should yield important benefits, including

¹ See, e.g., *Securities Transactions Settlement*, Securities Act Release No. 8398 (March 11, 2004), 69 FR 12922 (March 18, 2004), available <https://www.sec.gov/rules/concept/33-8393.pdf>; *Recommendation of the Investor Advisory Committee: Shortening the Trade Settlement Cycle in U.S. Financial Markets* (February 12, 2015), available at <https://www.sec.gov/spotlight/investor-advisory-committee-2012/settlement-cycle-recommendation-final.pdf>.

² *Shortening the Settlement Cycle: The Move to T+2* (June 18, 2015), available at <http://www.ust2.com/pdfs/ssc.pdf>.

reduced counterparty risk, decreased clearing capital requirements, reduced pro-cyclical margin and liquidity demands, and increased global harmonization. For these and other reasons, it is in my view incumbent upon all segments of the securities industry, including, where needed, the regulatory community, to work together expeditiously on this important matter.

Under the plan described in the White Paper, the efforts by the ISC and the broader securities industry would successfully shorten the settlement cycle by no later than the proposed time frame of the third quarter of 2017. The expectation of any related regulatory changes, including those noted in your letter, should not hinder or slow the collective industry effort towards meeting this goal. As this effort advances, the industry should use the time at hand to continue to make whatever preparations are required. With that in mind, I encourage you to build on the high-level Migration Timeline contained in the White Paper and I request that you keep the SEC staff in the Division of Trading and Markets updated with a more detailed implementation schedule, including interim milestones and dependencies, by December 18, 2015.

As the White Paper notes, regulatory certainty is another necessary precondition to the success of any migration to T+2, and I am committed to considering regulatory changes necessary for this migration to proceed on a timetable that will permit the industry to complete its essential work by no later than the proposed goal of the third quarter of 2017. The most significant regulatory changes would be amendments to the various rules of the self-regulatory organizations (“SROs”) that specifically mandate a three-day settlement cycle or that are keyed to the settlement date and require pre-settlement actions. Without these changes, settlement on T+2 is simply not possible. Accordingly, in order to provide the market with timely regulatory certainty with respect to these changes, I have directed the Commission staff to work closely with the SROs to develop detailed schedules to consider the necessary rule amendments. I have requested that the SROs finalize these schedules by October 31, 2015. These schedules, combined with your more detailed schedule, will provide the SEC the necessary insight required to monitor progress and take any additional steps necessary to keep this effort on track for a 2017 implementation.

In addition, while current SEC rules do not prevent the implementation of T+2, I recognize that updates to those rules could help support the move to T+2 by all market participants, as well as to shorter settlement cycles potentially in the future. I have therefore instructed the Commission staff to develop a proposal to amend SEC Rule 15c6-1(a) to require settlement no later than T+2. I emphasize that while this initiative complements the work of the securities industry and the SROs, it should not be seen as a precondition or an impediment to the execution of the plan described in the White Paper, nor to any future efforts to shorten the settlement cycle even further.

Kenneth E. Bentsen, Jr.
Paul Schott Stevens
Page 3

There has been a tremendous amount of work done to date by a broad range of market participants toward achieving the transition to T+2, and this effort must continue expeditiously to completion. I look forward to continuing to engage with you on this critical industry initiative.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Mary Jo White". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Mary Jo White
Chair